

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/202,791

Applicant(s)

Matsushima et al.

Examiner

Ware

Group Art Unit

1651



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bruce Grant, Esq.

(3) _____

(2) Deborah Ware, P.E.

(4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 24, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1-29 and 31-44

Identification of prior art discussed:

Did not discuss the art at this time.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Informed Applicants' Representative that the after final request for reconsideration and notice of appeal as well as change of address all filed on September 19, 2001, have been received and that all papers will be entered including the request for reconsideration. The claims will be reconsidered on the merits with respect to the outstanding patentability issues remaining in the case. All art will be reconsidered as to whether it is indeed of issue in the case with respect to patentability of the instantly filed claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/202,791

Applicant(s)

Matsushima et al.

Examiner

Ware

Group Art Unit

1651



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bruce Grant, Esq.

(3) _____

(2) Deborah Ware, P.E.

(4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 24, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1-29 and 31-44

Identification of prior art discussed:

Did not discuss the art at this time.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Informed Applicants' Representative that the after final request for reconsideration and notice of appeal as well as change of address all filed on September 19, 2001, have been received and that all papers will be entered including the request for reconsideration. The claims will be reconsidered on the merits with respect to the outstanding patentability issues remaining in the case. All art will be reconsidered as to whether it is indeed of issue in the case with respect to patentability of the instantly filed claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.